

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                    | FILING DATE                           | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/707,925   | 01/26/2004                            | Daniel M. Wilkinson  | 71485-0007          | 1924             |
| 20915<br>MCGARRY B                                 | 7590 10/17/2007                       |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| 32 Market Ave. SW SUITE 500 GRAND RAPIDS, MI 49503 |                                       |                      | BRINSON, PATRICK F  |                  |
|  |                                       |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |                      | 3754                |                  |
|  |                                       |                      |                     |                  |
|  | •                                     |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|  |                                       |                      | 10/17/2007          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|   | $\mathcal{H}$   |   |  |
|---|---|---|--|
| •   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |
|   | 10/707,925  | DANIEL WILKINSON  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |
|   | Patrick F. Brinson  | 3754  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the  | correspondence address  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON | N. mely filed not the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |
| Status  |   |   |  |
| <ul> <li>1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Jule</li> <li>2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This</li> <li>3)  Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Expensive to communication(s) filed on 23 Jule</li> </ul>  | action is non-final.<br>nce except for formal matters, pr   |   |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |   |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-78 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 52-72 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,10-19,26-28,35-43 and 73-78 is/a 7) Claim(s) 3-9,20-25,29-34 and 44-49 is/are objection and/o 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 8 8) Claim(s) are subject to by the Examine 10) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according and according the correct and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | re rejected. ected to. r election requirement. er. epted or b) □ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is o     | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)                           |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | caminer. Note the attached Offic  | e Action or form PTO-152.   |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list   | s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | tion No ved in this National Stage  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/26/2004  | 4) Interview Summar<br>Paper No(s)/Mail I<br>5) Notice of Informal<br>6) Other:   | • •   |  |

Art Unit: 3754

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 10, 12, 14-18, 26-28, 35 37, 39-42, 50, 51 and 73-78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. 3,945,867 to **Heller, Jr. et al.** in view of U.S. 4,754,685 to **Kite et al**.

The patent to Heller, Jr. et al. discloses a hose (12) formed of a variety of materials, typically a semi-rigid thermoplastic material such as Nylon 11, a woven net of monofilament strands (16) and a cover layer (18) overlying the inner tube and encapsulating the woven strands. Though not drawn to scale, it appears from the figs. that the spacing between the monofilament strands ranges from 50-200% of the diameter of the strands, as recited in claim 28. It is further disclosed that the bonding properties of the adhesive layer (20) may be similar to the core (12), for example, a nylon 11 bonding agent for a nylon 11 core or a different material, as recited in claims 17, 18, 40 and 41. It is also disclosed that the net (14) may be formed of orientable polymer yarn, typically polyester or nylon, as recited in claims 18 and 42. Col. 4, line

Art. Unit: 3754

56, discloses that typically the coating layer may typically be urethane rubber, as recited in claims 26, 27, 50 and 51. Heller, Jr. et al. does not specifically disclose the net (14) as being abrasion resistant. The patent to Kite et al. discloses an abrasion resistant braided sleeve that may be placed over pipes, conduits and the like to provide protection. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute for the braid material of Heller, Jr. et al. an abrasion resistant material as suggested by Kite et al. in order to protect the inner hose against abrasion should there be a breach in the outer cover layer. As to the diameter of the filaments and spacing of the monofilaments, Heller Jr. et al. discloses a thickness of .01 inch, however, it is considered an obvious choice of mechanical expedients to increase the diameter of the monofilaments in order to meet the needs of the user, wherein other factors, including flexibility, thickness of the bonding agent, to name a couple are determined to some extent on the diameter of the monofilaments, material of the monofilaments and their arrangements.

2. Claims 11, 13, 36 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Heller, Jr. et al.** in view of **Kite et al.** as applied to claims 2, 10. 28 and 35 above, and further in view of U.S. 4,585,035 to **Piccoli**.

The patent to Heller, Jr. et al., as modified, does not specifically disclose the inner tube as being formed of a polyethylene nor of an elastomeric material. The

Art Unit: 3754

patent to **Piccoli** discloses a hose having an inner hose (1) formed from materials known for conveying and containing fluids, including elastomeric materials including rubber and semi-rigid materials such as polyethylene. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the inner tube of **Heller**, **Jr. et al.**, as modified, of an alternate semi-rigid material such as polyethylene or of an elastomeric material, as suggested by **Piccoli**, wherein it is known in the art that these materials may be substituted for each other to meet the needs of the user and depending on the type of material that is being conveyed.

3. Claim 19 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Heller Jr. et al.** as applied to claims 19 and 40 above, and further in view of U.S. 4,007,070 to **Busdiecker**.

The patent to Heller Jr et al., as modified discloses that the adhesive layer (20) may be formed of a material similar to that of the core or could be other materials, but does not disclose the use of urethane. The patent Busdiecker discloses a hose including an inner layer, a reinforcing layer (17) and an outer layer, and it is further disclosed that the tie layers (13 and 22) include Durbond 8412, which is a urethane adhesive. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute for the nylon adhesive of Heller, Jr. et al., as

Art Unit: 3754

modified, a urethane adhesive, as suggested by Busdiecker in order to alternatively bond the fabric netting to the inner hose.

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 3-9, 20-25, 29-34, 44-49 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Johansen et al., Higbee, Washkewicz et al., Shewin, Buck et al., and Youngs are all pertinent to Applicant's invention in disclosing hoses with fabric or abrasion resistant layers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Patrick F. Brinson** whose telephone number is (571) 272-4897. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Kevin P. Shaver** can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Patrick F. Brinson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3754

P. F. Brinson October 15, 2007